# **Pupil premium strategy statement**

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium funding for the 2022 to 2023 academic year to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

## **School overview**

| Detail   | Data  |
|--|---|
| School name  | Barleyhurst Park<br>Primary School            |
| Number of pupils in school   | 206   |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils  | 38%   |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3-year plans are recommended) | 2021- 2024<br>(Year 3 of the plan)            |
| Date this statement was published  | 21 <sup>st</sup> October 2021                 |
| Date on which it will be reviewed  | December 2022<br>October 2023<br>October 2024 |
| Statement authorised by  | Full Governing Board                          |
| Pupil premium lead   | J. Passmore                                   |
| Governor / Trustee lead  | C. Davidson                                   |

# **Funding overview**

| Detail  | Amount  |
|---|---------|
| Pupil premium funding allocation, estimated, for this academic year (2023-2024)   | £94,000 |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)  | £0      |
| <b>Total budget for this academic year</b><br>If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this<br>funding, state the amount available to your school this<br>academic year | £94,000 |

# Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

### Statement of intent

Pupils at Barleyhurst park Primary School will make at least the expected progress in reading, writing and maths, through quality first teaching and increased opportunities for reinforcement of learning at home. We aim for disadvantaged pupils to have access to a wide range of interventions in schools, where necessary, to meet their needs.

We will provide a supportive pastoral service for pupils and parents, identified by school as vulnerable or in need. We will aim for disadvantaged pupils to increase their attendance at school, therefore closing the gap between themselves and non-disadvantaged pupils and therefore enabling them to experience the full learning experience at Barleyhurst Park Primary School.

We will facilitate pupils accessing a wide range of enrichment experiences both in and out of school, which will positively impact on their academic achievement and well-being.

# Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge<br>number | Detail of challenge   |
|---------------------|---|
| 1                   | Progress outcomes of pupils are significantly affected by their home life.  |
| 2                   | Increased numbers of pupils with complex needs, including speech and language, social communication, poor working memory, attention difficulties, motor skills, social emotional and mental health. |
| 3                   | Limited life experiences and opportunities to join in enrichment opportunities.   |
| 4                   | Disadvantaged pupils have lower attendance due to low importance of school for some parents.  |
| 5                   | Parental engagement is difficult.   |

# Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome   | Success criteria   |
|--|--|
| Pupils make at least expected<br>progress, with some making<br>accelerated progress, in reading,<br>writing and maths. | The progress gap between pupil premium children and<br>non-pupil premium children will close by at least 0.1<br>Sonar* progress points for writing and maths and 0.2<br>Sonar* progress points for reading.                    |
| Increase overlearning and reinforcement in reading at home and in school.  | Increase the reading accuracy, fluency and<br>comprehension of pupil premium children.<br>An increase in the reading age and comprehension age<br>by more than the chronological period of time for pupil<br>premium children. |
| Increase overlearning and reinforcement in key maths skills at home in school.   | Increase accuracy and fluency of key maths skills in mental maths proficiencies for PP pupils  |
| Interventions are targeted<br>appropriately and used effectively<br>to reduce barriers to learning and                 | Disadvantaged pupils make at least expected progress,<br>with some making accelerated progress, in reading,<br>writing and maths.  |
| improve attainment.  | The progress gap between pupil premium children and<br>non-pupil premium children will close by at least 0.1<br>Sonar* progress points for writing and maths and 0.2<br>Sonar* progress points for reading.                    |
| Pupil premium attendance improves.   | Attendance of identified pupil premium children<br>increases and the gap between them and non-pupil<br>premium narrows.  |
|  | Pupil premium children's attendance is above 96%.<br>Reduce the number of pupil premium children who are<br>persistent absentees by 2 compared to the end of the<br>previous year.   |
| Pupils access a wide range of<br>enrichment experiences and use  | Improved writing attainment by 10% - 20% for pupil premium children.   |
| these experiences as a springboard to improve the quality  | Increase the number of pupil premium children writing at greater depth by the end of the year.   |
| of writing and topic work.   | The progress gap between pupil premium children and non-pupil premium children will close by at least 0.1 Sonar* progress points for writing.  |
|  | The number of pupil premium children working at age related expectations in geography and history will improve by 10%.   |

\*Sonar is our online data tracking system.

## Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

#### Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

| Year 1 | Budgeted cost: £ 20,000 | Actual cost: £ 15,337 |
|--------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Year 2 | Budgeted cost: £ 20,000 | Actual cost: £11,772  |

Year 3 Budgeted cost: £18,000

| Activity   | Evidence that supports this approach   | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| Quality First Teaching   | The Education Endowment Foundation (EEF) recommends a tiered approach in supporting pupils' progress with QFT being the top priority.  | 1                                   |
| Children are provided<br>with a variety of<br>experiences and<br>resources, including<br>trips and visitors, in and<br>out of school to use as<br>a springboard for<br>creativity within their<br>learning, especially<br>their writing. | Enrichment activities offer children a context<br>for learning and a stimulus to trigger their<br>interest which can be evidenced in their<br>books and by analysing our data.   | 1, 3                                |
| Specialist weeks   | Enrichment activities offer children a context<br>for learning and a stimulus to trigger their<br>interest which can be evidenced in their<br>books and by analysing our data.   | 1,3                                 |
| Staff CPD  | High quality staff CPD is essential while following the EEF principles. This is followed up in staff meetings and INSET days.  | 1,2,3,4,5                           |
| SENDCo additional half<br>day  | 28% of disadvantaged children have SEND/<br>learning difficulties, 3 of those are with<br>additional medical and/or intimate care needs.<br>EEF Special Educational Needs in Primary<br>Schools details that schools should build an<br>ongoing, holistic understanding of pupils and<br>their needs where teachers, with the support<br>of other professionals, develop a repertoire of<br>teaching strategies. | 1,2,5                               |

# Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

| Year 1 | Budgeted cost: £40,000 |
|--------|------------------------|
|--------|------------------------|

Actual cost: £40,554

Year 2 Budgeted cost: £ 40,000

Actual cost: £38,250

Year 3 Budgeted cost: £38,000

| Activity   | Evidence that supports this approach  | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Prioritise pupil premium<br>children for additional<br>reading time and<br>ensure ability<br>appropriate questioning<br>is used to develop<br>comprehension skills | As pupils develop their comprehension<br>skills, they become more able to absorb and<br>process content from across the curriculum.<br>EEF toolkit – Improving Literacy in Key<br>Stage 1 and Key Stage 2. Developing<br>pupils' language capability to support their<br>reading and writing. | 1                                   |
| Ensure pupils,<br>especially boys, have<br>access to a wide range<br>of texts and reading<br>materials to engage<br>and develop their<br>enjoyment of reading.     | Securing a love of reading is what drives<br>pupils' curiosity to find out more.<br>EEF toolkit – Improving Literacy in Key<br>Stage 1 and Key Stage 2.<br>EEF toolkit- supporting parents in providing<br>a structure and regular routine.   | 1                                   |
| Targeted group support<br>and interventions,<br>including pre/post<br>teaching as<br>appropriate.  | EEF Toolkit- Making Best Use of Teaching Assistants.  | 1                                   |
| Practical resources are<br>used regularly in Maths<br>to help develop and<br>secure pupils'<br>understanding of key<br>concepts.                                   | EEF Toolkit- Improving Mathematics in key<br>Stage 2- Using manipulatives and<br>representations.<br>Improving Mathematics in Key Stage 1-<br>Using manipulatives and representation to<br>develop understanding.   | 1                                   |

# Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Year 1 Budgeted cost: £44,000

Actual cost: £ 44,028.65

Year 2 Budgeted cost: £ 40,000

Actual cost: £46,218

Year 3 Budgeted cost: £38,000

| Activity   | Evidence that supports this approach  | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Addressing and<br>improving attendance<br>through close<br>monitoring and use of<br>pastoral support to<br>engage and support<br>families. CPOMs used<br>to track<br>communications. | Attendance data linked to progress data.<br>A dedicated person who monitors<br>attendance and who has a good<br>relationship with parents is most<br>effective at ensuring good pupil<br>attendance.  | 4, 5                                |
| To meet the SEMH and/<br>or health needs of all<br>pupil premium children to<br>ensure they are able to<br>learn and achieve their<br>potential.                                     | EEF Toolkit- Improving Social and Emotional Learning in Primary Schools.  | 2                                   |
| Parents and Carers of<br>pupil premium children<br>are supported financially<br>to allow their child to<br>have essential items for<br>school, e.g. uniform,<br>water bottle.        | EEF Toolkit- Improving Social and<br>Emotional Learning in Primary Schools-<br>reinforce SEL through whole school ethos<br>and activities.  | 2                                   |
| Staff training on<br>Awareness of Mental<br>health in children, level 2.<br>Training to support pupils<br>with complex medical<br>needs.   | High quality staff CPD is essential while<br>following the EEF principles. This is<br>followed up in staff meetings and INSET<br>days.  | 1,2,3,4,5                           |
| SENDCo additional half<br>day  | <ul> <li>28% of disadvantaged children have<br/>SEND/ learning difficulties, 3 of those with<br/>additional medical and/or intimate care<br/>needs.</li> <li>EEF Special Educational Needs in Primary<br/>Schools details that schools should build<br/>an ongoing, holistic understanding of<br/>pupils and their needs where teachers,<br/>with the support of other professionals,<br/>develop a repertoire of teaching strategies.</li> </ul> | 1,2,5                               |

- Year 1 Total budgeted cost: £104,000, Actual: £99,919.65
- Year 2 Total budgeted cost: £100,000, Actual: £96,240
- Year 3 Total budgeted cost: £100,000

# Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

# Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2022 to 2023 academic year.

| Phonics               | 45% of Year 1 pupil premium children passed the phonics screening.                     |
|-----------------------|--|
|                       | 90% of Year 2 pupil premium children passed the phonics screening.                     |
| • KS1 Exit Data       |  |
|                       | <b>Reading</b> – 60% of pupil premium children achieved ARE; this is compared to 59%   |
|                       | of the class as a whole  |
|                       | achieving at least the expected standard.  |
|                       | Writing – 50% of pupil premium children achieved ARE; this is compared                 |
|                       | to 48% of the class as a whole.  |
|                       | Maths - 60% of pupil premium children achieved ARE or better; this is compared to      |
|                       | 55% of the class as a whole achieving at least the expected standard.                  |
| • KS2 exit data       |  |
|                       | <b>Reading</b> – 60% of pupil premium children achieved ARE or better,                 |
|                       | with 27% achieving GTD; this is compared to 73% of the class as a whole                |
|                       | achieving ARE or better, with 47% achieving GD.  |
|                       | Writing – 73% of pupil premium children achieved ARE or better, with 6%                |
|                       | achieving GD; this is compared to 70% of the class as a whole achieving ARE or better. |
|                       | Maths - 67% of pupil premium children achieved ARE, with 20% achieving GD; this        |
|                       | is compared to 80% of the class as a whole achieving ARE or better.                    |
|                       | SPaG – 93% of pupil premium children achieved ARE or better, with 27%                  |
|                       | achieving GD; this compares to 83% of the class as a whole achieving ARE or            |
|                       | hetter   |
| Pupil premium child   | lren had an average attendance of 92.2%, up from 91.6% the previous year (non-         |
|                       | 93.1%, down from 94.0% the previous year)  |
|                       | ium children had attendance above 90%, up from 68% the previous year (non-pupil        |
|                       | , up from 81% the previous year), with 34% of pupil premium children having at-        |
|                       | or above which is up from 14% the previous year (non-pupil premium was 36%, up         |
| from 25% the prev     |  |
| <b>,</b>              | ium children in KS1 and 51% of pupil premium children in KS2 were supported finan-     |
| 3111                  | ing additional resources from school, including uniform items and water bottles.       |
|                       | ium children in KS2 were supported financially so that they could enjoy the benefit of |
| hot or cold school of |  |
| • When looking at pe  | ersistent absentees (below 90% attendance), 58% are pupil premium children, this       |
| compares to 44% i     | n the previous year.   |

# **Further information – Review of Spending 2022-2023**

#### Total funding received for the year 2022-2023 = £96,240

| Barrier   | Approach  | Staff / Actions   | Cost    |
|---|---|---|---------|
| Low levels of attendance<br>and persistent absentee-<br>ism                                 | Attendance was monitored daily<br>and absences addressed swiftly. Pu-<br>pils who had regular absences were<br>addressed through letters, phone<br>calls and meetings (and where ap-<br>propriate, advice was sought from<br>outside agencies).   | Deputy Headteacher support, including a focus on improving<br>rates of attendance and reducing persistent absenteeism.<br>A proportion of the salary of our part-time welfare assistant,<br>the school business support and the school finance<br>administrator to improve attendance.  | £11,139 |
| Gaps in learning  | <ul> <li>HLTA and teachers offered targeted group support and interventions.</li> <li>TAs ran intervention groups for maths and English as well as taking smaller groups out for pre/post teaching as necessary.</li> <li>We identified key pupils who would benefit from teacher led 'booster' sessions in maths and English (partially funded by the government catch-up funding)</li> <li>A regular and consistent use of practical resources in maths was encouraged to develop and secure pupils' understanding of key concepts.</li> <li>Children in Foundation Stage were identified to take part in a series of nurture sessions led by a teaching</li> </ul> | A proportion of the salary of a higher-level teaching assistant<br>delivered targeted support.<br>A proportion of the salary of Level 3 teaching assistants to<br>support maths across the school (KS1 Numicon and KS2<br>ALPs) to help close the attainment gap.<br>A proportion of a teacher's salary to provide teacher led in-<br>terventions in maths and English.<br>The purchase of improved learning resources, including addi-<br>tional maths resources and gender specific books to further<br>engage boys in reading.<br>Proportional of the salary of a level 2 teaching assistant to<br>run NELI sessions to support early talk/ reading. | ±11,134 |
| Pupils struggle to access<br>the complete curriculum  | assistant.<br>Reading took a high priority.<br>Teaching assistants endeavoured to<br>listen to all pupils read at least 3<br>times each week and pupil pre-<br>mium children at least 4 times a<br>week.<br>Deputy Head monitored weekly<br>and addressed areas of concern.<br>SENDCo liaised with different ex-<br>ternal agencies as appropriate, en-<br>suring the provision children re-<br>ceived was representative of their<br>needs.  | A proportion of the salary of Level 2 and 3 teaching assis-<br>tants to support reading across the school.<br>A proportion of the SENDCo salary to address specific needs<br>for pupils and time spent liaising with outside agencies,<br>where necessary<br>A proportion of the cost of a new phonics scheme to be de-   | £32,116 |
|   | Purchasing of a new government<br>backed phonics scheme to enable a<br>strong and consistent approach to<br>early reading and writing.  | A proportion of the cost of a new phonics scheme to be de-<br>livered across the Foundation Stage and Key Stage 1, as<br>well as in Key Stage 2 where appropriate. Different parts of<br>the phonics program will be delivered by teachers and teach-<br>ing assistants.  | £35,956 |
| Pupils had limited experi-<br>ences beyond their home<br>life and immediate com-<br>munity. | Children were provided with<br>'springboard' experiences in and<br>out of school. This was achieved<br>through our Forest School sessions,<br>trips and visitors to the school.   | A proportion of the salary of a level 3 teaching assistant de-<br>livering Forest School to Year 2 and Year 3 pupils, helping to<br>provide unique learning opportunities.<br>A proportion of the cost of specialist visitors to school to<br>broaden pupil experiences such as M and M Productions.<br>A proportion of the on-going costs for the school mini-bus.<br>A proportion of the cost of trips for pupil premium children<br>was paid and, in some cases, this was paid in full.  |         |
|   |   |   | £3,205  |

| Pupils having negative in-<br>fluences upon their wellbe-<br>ing. | Children were supplied with re-<br>duced priced school meals, milk,<br>school uniform and other sundries<br>(including water bottles) | Subsidising school dinners, milk, uniform and sundries for<br>KS2.<br>Subsidising of breakfast club so that pupils could begin their<br>school day fed and full of energy, while also helping to keep<br>pupils focused and engaged in their work. |         |
|---|---|--|---------|
|   | Providing staff training on chil-<br>dren's mental health and well-being  | A proportion of the cost of the training allowing staff to be<br>informed of the latest strategies and techniques in assessing<br>and supporting pupils with mental health concerns.   |         |
|   |   |  | £6,374  |
| Other professional support  | Meetings and support processes<br>were held throughout the year.  | Various different meetings were carried out throughout the<br>year to support different pupil premium children; these meet-<br>ings included PEP reviews, LAC meetings, CCRs as well as<br>others.   |         |
|   |   |  | £7,450  |
|   |   |  | £96,240 |